Using R and Bioconductor to explore genetic effects on single-cell gene expression

Davis McCarthy NHMRC Early Career Fellow Stegle Group, EMBL-EBI

@davisjmcc www.ebi.ac.uk www.hipsci.org



1. (How) Can we carry out single-cell QTL studies?

2. How will we scale Bioconductor single-cell tools to datasets of millions of cells?



Single-cell QTL studies



Combining individual-to-individual and cell-to-cell heterogeneity

variation of interest





single-cell variation

Recap: QTL in population variation datasets





Motivating example (I): in induced pluripotent stem cells we can link disease risk variants to gene expression



TERT has an iPS eQTL that overlaps a cancer risk variant.





Kilpinen, Goncalves et al, Nature, 2017

Motivating example (II): genetic effects on gene expression (can) depend on context







Fairfax et al, Science, 2014: Fig. 3

scRNA-seq as a readout for QTL analyses offers new phenotypes to study with unprecedented characterisation of cell types and states





Definitive endoderm differentiation from iPSCs



Adapted from Touboul et al, 2010 Hepatology

EMBL-EBI

Mariya Chhatriwala, Shradha Amatya, Jose Garcia-Bernardo, Ludovic Vallier

- How do we characterise the heterogeneity of transcriptome states in iPSCs during differentiation?
- How do genetic variants influence single-cell states?
- How do genetic effects differ in differentiated cells?
- (How) Can we map QTLs for single-cell phenotypes?



- How can we design a single-cell QTL study that:
 - 1. Can feasibly assay cells from a large enough number of individuals?
 - 2. Is robust to batch effects?



Donor pooling can increase throughput and ameliorate batch effects





At the point of sequencing, we do not know which individual a cell came from.

So can we:

- Identify the donor for each cell?
 - When the donor genotypes are known?
 - When the donor genotypes are unknown?



Approach when donor genotypes are known

- Variants called with GATK HaplotypeCaller from scRNA-seq reads
- Matched against genotypes for 400 HipSci donors by estimating "genomic relatedness" (average allelic correlation) between cell and line
- Use highest relatedness score to identify line from which cell came





Approach when donor genotypes are known

- De novo variant calling from RNA-seq reads?
 - Too variable; not enough overlap with genotyped sites; bias to variant allele
- Call variants at known sites (e.g. dbSNP variants)?
 - Too slow; too many uninformative sites
- Call variants at known sites in the 1000 highest expressed genes in bulk iPSC samples?
 - Right balance between informative sites, speed and accuracy





Variants called from Smartseq2 fibroblast data





Fibroblast cells from 3 individual donors

Score distributions for Smartseq2 data



SS2 Data: Score distributions by number of called variants

Fibroblast cells from 3 individual donors

EMBL-EBI

Score distributions for Smartseq2 data



SS2 Data: Score distributions by number of called variants

well_type • bulk • control = single_cell



Fibroblast cells from 3 individual donors

There are large-scale differences in gene expression between donors





Fibroblast cells from 3 individual donors

Donor ID also works for sparser 10x data



10x Data: Score distributions by number of called variants

Fibroblast cells from 3 individual donors

EMBL-EBI

Approach when donor genotypes are unknown

- Genotype cells at a list of HipSci variant sites
 - This need not be HipSci-specific. 1000G sites or similar would work just as well
- Merge cell VCFs to one big VCF (high % missing genotypes)
- Filter to SNPs on % missing genotypes threshold
 - <75% missing genotypes for SS2 data
 - <90% missing genotypes for 10x data
- Probabilistic PCA (*pcaMethods*)
- model-based clustering on PCs (*mclust*)



For Smartseq2 data, 250k SNPs are called, but most genotypes are missing

% missing genotypes by cell





Prob. PCA on 22k filtered SNP genotypes works well



Prob. PCA using SNP genotypes as features to cluster cells

Fibroblast cells from 3 individual donors



Specifying 4 clusters for mclust VEV model yields clean results



Interpret this as 3 "donor" clusters and an "unassigned" cluster

Fibroblast cells from 3 individual donors



Favourable comparison of these results with donor ID using genotypes



Adjusted Rand Index: 0.87 (1 is perfect agreement between donor assignments)

Fibroblast cells from 3 individual donors



4

- Donor ID without known genotypes works well for Smartseq2 protocol, which yields full-length transcript data.
- What about for 3' tag methods like 10x Chromium?



Fewer SNPs called from 10x data and most genotypes for a cell and a SNP are missing



Total of 100k SNPs called across all 2553 cells. Few shared across cells.

3110 SNPs with <90% missing genotypes across cells. Use these.



Prob. PCA on 3110 SNPs from 10x yields distinct clusters



Prob. PCA using SNP genotypes as features to cluster cells

Fibroblast cells from 3 individual donors



Excellent agreement with donor ID using donor genotypes for 10x data



Adjusted Rand Index: 0.95 (1 is perfect agreement between donor assignments)

Even better agreement than for SS2 data. Some cells with "unknown" donor assignment from approach with donor genotypes look "confidently" assigned to cells without using donor genotypes

Fibroblast cells from 3 individual donors



Donor ID summary and conclusions

- Genetic donor can be identified from SNP genotypes called from scRNA-seq reads.
- Donor ID works both from full-length transcript data (Smartseq2) and 3' tag data (10x).
- Successful donor ID enables pooling of cells from multiple donors per experiment/run:
 - Scale up donor numbers necessary for QTL studies in minimal runs
 - Efficient use of expensive protocols
 - Enable experimental designs that are robust to batch effects
- Single-cell RNA-seq expands the phenotypes we can study with QTL mapping



Scaling Bioconductor single-cell tools to millions of cells









scater ecosystem: take advantage of many other R/Bioconductor packages

cf. ExpressionSet, data classes in *Seurat*, *monocle*



Technological developments drive Moore's Law in single-cell transcriptomics



Svensson V, Vento-Tormo R, Teichmann SA. Moore's Law in Single Cell Transcriptomics, arXiv, 2017. Available: <u>http://arxiv.org/abs/1704.01379</u>



Two key developments...

- *SingleCellExperiment* (Davide Risso)
 - Base class for single-cell data with out-of-memory representations of assay data.
 - Advantages for pkg developers; interoperability
- Beachmat (Aaron Lun, Hervé Pages, Mike Smith)
 - C++ API that allows developers to implement computationally intensive algorithms in C++ that can be immediately applied to a wide range of R matrix classes, including simple matrices, sparse matrices from the Matrix package, and HDF5-backed matrices from the HDF5Array package [Lun et al, *bioRxiv*, 2017]



Adoption of SingleCellExperiment and beachmat will be better for users and devels

- scater and scran will move to SingleCellExperiment and beachmat under the hood for the next release.
- Other developers: you should too!



Acknowledgements: R/Bioconductor pkgs

• Bioconductor:

scater scran VariantAnnotation snpStats pcaMethods

• CRAN:

tidyverse vcfR adegenet mclust Many, many thanks to:

- Bioconductor core team
- Bioconductor developers
- scater users
- All open-source software developers



Acknowledgements

Stegle Lab (EMBL-EBI): Oliver Stegle Raghd Rostom (Stegle/Teichmann) Anna Cuomo (Stegle/Marioni)

Marc Jan Bonder

 Vallier Lab (Sanger): Shradha Amatya Mariya Chhatriwala Jose Garcia-Bernardo Ludovic Vallier Scater developers: Aaron Lun, Kieran Campbell, Quin Wills

Sarah Teichmann (Sanger) John Marioni (EMBL-EBI/CRI) Helena Kilpinen (UCL/Sanger) Ian Streeter (EMBL-EBI)

Sanger single cell core facility (SCGCF) Sanger FACS facility Sanger sequencing facility

Everyone in HipSci!

Richard Durbin

Dan Gaffney



Strategic Award





Australian Government

National Health and Medical Research Council





Get in touch

@davisjmcc

davis@ebi.ac.uk

Workflow with Aaron Lun and John Marioni:

http://bioconductor.org/help/workflows/s impleSingleCell/



http://bioconductor.org/packages/scater/

Single-cell course with Martin Hemberg, Vlad Kiselev, Tallulah Andrews:

https://hemberglab.github.io/scRNA.seq.course/

> #bioc2017 #RCatLadies #dataparasites







Acknowledgement

WTSI Richard Durbin

Anja Kolb-Kokocinksi Andreas Leha Yasin Memari Phil Carter Petr Danecek Shane McCarthy Sendu Balasubramaniam Danielle Walker Thomas Keane

Daniel Gaffney

Andrew Knights Natsuhiko Kumasaka Angela Goncalves

Ludovic Vallier

Filipa Soares Katarzyna Tilgner Mariya Chhatriwala Jose Garcia-Bernardo

CGaP

Chris Kirton Minal Patel **Rachel Nelson** Alistair White Sharad Patel Heather James Anthi Tsingene Maria Imaz **Clair Stribling** Chloe Allen Rizwan Ansari Leighton Sneade Lucinda Weston-stiff Alex Alderton Jose Garcia-Bernardo Sarah Harper Chukwuma Aqu

Carol Smee Ros Cook

EBI

Ewan Birney Laura Clarke Ian Streeter David Richardson Helen Parkinson

Oliver Stegle

Helena Kilpinen Marc Jan Bonder Bogdan Mirauta Anna Cuomo Daniel Seaton

Dundee Angus Lamond Dalila Bensaddek Yasmeen Ahmad

KCL Fiona Watt

Davide Danovi Annie Kathuria

Nathalie Moens Oliver Cullley Darrick Hansen Natalia Palasz Andreas Reimer Ruta Meleckyte

CBR

Willem Ouwehand Sofie Ashford Karola Rehnstrom BRC hIPSCs core facility Monika Madej Juned Kadiwala

DNA pipeline teams

Illumina High Throughput pipeline - Emma Gray Sample Management - Emily Wilkinson Illumina Bespoke - Richard Rance





Cell differentiation experiments leverage iPSCs to look at downstream effects



iPSCs provide models for genetic diseases in which we can assay regulatory effects of disease variants in differentiated cells.



mclust BIC selects VEV model with 4 groups





Automated mclust approach yields optimal(?) clustering - no further tweaking looks required

Classification



